



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,777	09/11/2006	Anja Fecher	66535.000013	1177
21967 7590 12/08/2009 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			EXAMINER DESAL, RITA J	
			ART UNIT 1625	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/08/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/598,777  <b>Examiner</b> Rita J. Desai	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FECHER ET AL.  <b>Art Unit</b> 1625
---	--	---

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Rita J. Desai

(2) Jeff Vockrodt

**Date of Interview:** 4 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

*all*

  

Prior art documents discussed:

*WO and US documents*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner and the attorney discussed potential rejections. the examiner explained the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> para for C0-C5 alkylcarbonyl, C0- carbonyl would be C=O only and chemically not possible.*

*The provisoes because of art make the C1-C5 alkoxycarbonyl groups also obvious, recommended deleting it.*

*Claims 13 had the term medicament comprising without any other ingredient. the examiner suggested changing it to pharmaceutical composition with the carrier as given in claim 14.*

*Delete claim 18 and amend claim 15 to read the antagonizing as given on page 69. ( delete preventing and treating)*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/Rita J. Desai/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)